Example of a Grading Rubric For a Term Paper in Any Discipline

Chooses words for their precise meaning and uses an appropriate level of specificity. Sentence style fits paper's audience and purpose. Sentences are varied, yet clearly structured and carefully focused, long and rambling.		Support Uses evidence appropriately effectively, providing suffice evidence and explanation to convince.	Organization & Uses a logical structure approcedure to paper's subject, purpose, a thesis, and disciplinary field. Sophisticated transitional sense often develop one idea from previous one or identify their relations. It guides the reader through the chain of reasonir progression of ideas.	Ideas Excels in responding to a Interesting, demonstrates sophistication of thought. idea/thesis is clearly com worth developing; limited be manageable, Paper recesome complexity of its that acknowledge its contradity qualifications, or limits an out their logical implicant Understands and critically its sources, appropriately defines terms.	Modeled after rubric used in the UC Davis English Department Composition Program The B paper
It happer's audience and purpose. Sentences are varied, yet clearly structured and carefully focused, not long and rambling.	Chooses words for their precise meaning and uses an appropriate level of specificity. Sentence style	Uses evidence appropriately and effectively, providing sufficient evidence and explanation to convince.	Uses a logical structure appropriate to paper's subject, purpose, audience, thesis, and disciplinary field. Sophisticated transitional sentences often develop one idea from the previous one or identify their logical relations. It guides the reader through the chain of reasoning or progression of ideas.	Excels in responding to assignment. Interesting, demonstrates sophistication of thought. Central idea/thesis is clearly communicated, worth developing; limited enough to be manageable. Paper recognizes some complexity of its thesis: may acknowledge its contradictions, qualifications, or limits and follow out their logical implications. Understands and critically evaluates its sources, appropriately limits and defines terms.	C Davis English Departmen The A paper
too generat, bettiences generally clear, well structured, and focused, though some may be awkward or ineffective.	Generally uses words accurately and effectively, but may sometimes be	Begins to offer reasons to support its points, perhaps using varied kinds of evidence. Begins to interpret the evidence and explain connections between evidence and main ideas. Its examples bear some relevance.	Shows a logical progression of ideas and uses fairly sophisticated transitional devices; e.g., may move from least to more important idea. Some logical links may be faulty, but each paragraph clearly relates to paper's central idea.	A solid paper, responding appropriately to assignment. Clearly states a thesis/central idea, but may have minor lapses in development. Begins to acknowledge the complexity of central idea and the possibility of other points of view. Shows careful reading of sources, but may not evaluate them critically. Attempts to define terms, not always successfully.	t Composition Program The B paper
	Uses relatively vague and general words, may use some inappropriate language. Sentence structure generally correct, but sentences may be wordy, unfocused, repetitive, or confusing.	Often uses generalizations to support its points. May use examples, but they may be obvious or not relevant. Often depends on unsupported opinion or personal experience, or assumes that evidence speaks for itself and needs no application to the point being discussed. Often has lapses in logic.	May list ideas or arrange them randomly rather than using any evident logical structure. May use transitions, but they are likely to be sequential (first, second, third) rather than logic-based. While each paragraph may relate to central idea, logic is not always clear. Paragraphs have topic sentences but may be overly general, and arrangement of sentences within paragraphs may lack coherence.	Adequate but weaker and less effective, possibly responding less well to assignment. Presents central idea in general terms, often depending on platitudes or cliches. Usually does not acknowledge other views. Shows basic comprehension of sources, perhaps with lapses in understanding. If it defines terms, often depends on dictionary definitions.	The C paper
	May be too vague and abstract, or very personal and specific. Usually contains several awkward or ungrammatical sentences; sentence structure is simple or monotonous.	Depends on cliches or overgeneralizations for support, or offers little evidence of any kind. May be personal narrative rather than essay, or summary rather than analysis.	May have random organization, lacking internal paragraph coherence and using few or inappropriate transitions. Paragraphs may lack topic sentences or main ideas, or may be too general or too specific to be effective. Paragraphs may not all relate to paper's thesis.	Does not have a clear central idea or does not respond appropriately to the assignment. Thesis may be too vague or obvious to be developed effectively. Paper may misunderstand sources.	The D paper
	Usually contains many awkward sentences, misuses words, employs inappropriate language.	Uses irrelevant details or lacks supporting evidence entirely. May be unduly brief.	No appreciable organization; lacks transitions and coherence.	Does not respond to the assignment, lacks a thesis or central idea, and may neglect to use sources where necessary.	The F paper